



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/904,505	07/16/2001	Akira Tsuboyama	684.3218	2262
5514	7590 04/22/2003	•		
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			EXAMINER	
30 ROCKEFE NEW YORK,	LLER PLAZA NY 10112		DUONG, THOI V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
DATE MAILED: 04/22/200			3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	Day.			
Advisory Action	09/904,505	TSUBOYAMA ET A	L.			
Advisory Addien	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thoi V Duong	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 24 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>06</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even iftimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2.☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) 🔲 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>Se</u>		dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>5</u> .						
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>24 March 2003</u> is a) ☑ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<del></del> ;				
10. Other:		Primary E	Ly xaminer			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 (amended) are not persuasive.

The Applicant argued that Hanna does not teach a liquid crystal compound having both carrier-transport function and phosphorescent function. The Examiner disagrees because Hanna discloses some, not all, liquid crystal compounds having both carrier-transport function and phosphorescent function in and of itself so that they can provide luminescence when mixed with a fluorescent material. Without phosphorescent function, other liquid crystal compounds can't provide luminescence when mixed with a fluorescent material.